

A remark on the integrals of motion associated with level k realization of the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$

October 16, 2008

T.KOJIMA ^{α} and J.SHIRAISHI ^{β}

^{α} *Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University,
Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0062, JAPAN*

^{β} *Graduate School of Mathematical Science, University of Tokyo,
Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8914, JAPAN*

Abstract

We give one parameter deformation of level k free field realization of the screening current of the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$. By means of these free field realizations, we construct infinitely many commutative operators, which we call the nonlocal integrals of motion associated with level k -realization of the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$. They are given as integrals involving a product of the screening current and elliptic theta functions. This paper give level k generalization of the nonlocal integrals of motion given in [1].

1 Introduction

One of the results in V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov, Al.Zamolodchikov [4] is construction of field theoretical analogue of the commuting transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}(z)$, acting on the highest weight rep-

representation of the Virasoro algebra. Their commuting transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}(z)$ is the trace of the image of the universal R -matrix associated with the quantum affine symmetry $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$. This construction is very simple and the commutativity $[\mathbf{T}(z), \mathbf{T}(w)] = 0$ is direct consequence of the Yang-Baxter equation. They call the coefficients of the Taylor expansion of $\mathbf{T}(z)$ the nonlocal integrals of motion. The higher-rank generalization of [4] is considered in [5, 6]. The elliptic deformation of the nonlocal integrals of motion is considered in [1]. V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov, Al.Zamolodchikov [4] constructed the continuous transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}(z)$ by taking the trace of the image of the universal R -matrix associated with $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$. However it is not so easy to calculate the image of the elliptic version of the universal R -matrix, which is obtained by using the twister [10]. Hence the construction method of the elliptic version [1] should be completely different from those in [4]. Instead of considering the transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}(z)$, the authors [1] give the integral representation of the integrals of motion directly. The commutativity of the integrals of motion is not consequence of the Yang-Baxter equation. It is consequence of the commutative subalgebra of the Feigin-Odesskii algebra [11]. The higher-rank generalization of [1] is considered in [2, 3]. This paper is a continuation of [1, 2, 3]. This paper give level k generalization of the nonlocal integrals of motion given in [1].

The organization of this paper is as following. In section 2 we give one parameter “ s ” deformation of the level k free field realization of the screening current of the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$. In section 3 we construct infinitely many commutative operators, which are called the nonlocal integrals of motion associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$ for level k . In section 3 we state main theorem and give conjecture. In appendix we summarize the normal ordering of basic operators.

2 Elliptic current

In this section we give one parameter “ s ” deformation of the level k free field realization of the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$. We fix complex numbers x, r, r^*, s , ($|x| < 1, \text{Re}(r), \text{Re}(r^*) > 0, s \neq 2$), and $k = r - r^* \neq 0, -2$. We use symbols

$$[n] = \frac{x^n - x^{-n}}{x - x^{-1}}, \quad [n]_+ = x^n + x^{-n}.$$

We set the parameter τ, τ^*

$$x = e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}/r\tau} = e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}/r^*\tau^*}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let us use parametrization $z = x^{2u}$. The symbol $[u]_r$ stands for the Jacobi elliptic theta function

$$[u]_r = x^{\frac{u^2}{r} - u} \Theta_{x^{2r}}(z), \quad [u]_{r^*} = x^{\frac{u^2}{r^*} - u} \Theta_{x^{2r^*}}(z), \quad (2.2)$$

where we have used

$$\Theta_p(z) = (z; p)_\infty (p/z; p)_\infty (p; p)_\infty, \quad (z; p)_\infty = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - p^n z). \quad (2.3)$$

The theta function $[u]_r$ enjoys the quasi-periodicity property

$$[u + r]_r = -[u]_r, \quad [u + r\tau]_r = -e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau - \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r}u} [u]_r. \quad (2.4)$$

2.1 Bosons

We set the bosons $\alpha_m^j, \tilde{\alpha}_m^j, (j = 1, 2; m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})$,

$$[\alpha_m^j, \alpha_n^j] = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{[2m][rm]}{[km][(r-k)m]} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (2.5)$$

$$[\alpha_m^1, \alpha_n^2] = \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{x^{(-r+k)m}([sm] - [(s-2)m])}{[(r-k)m]} + \frac{x^{km}([sm] + [(s-2)m])}{[km]} \right) \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$[\tilde{\alpha}_m^j, \tilde{\alpha}_n^j] = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{[2m][(r-k)m]}{[km][rm]} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (2.7)$$

$$[\tilde{\alpha}_m^1, \tilde{\alpha}_n^2] = \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{x^{rm}(-[sm] + [(s-2)m])}{[rm]} + \frac{x^{km}([sm] + [(s-2)m])}{[km]} \right) \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$[\alpha_m^j, \tilde{\alpha}_n^j] = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{[2m]}{[km]} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (2.9)$$

$$[\alpha_m^1, \tilde{\alpha}_n^2] = \frac{1}{m} \frac{[sm] + [(s-2)m]}{[km]} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$[\tilde{\alpha}_m^1, \alpha_n^2] = \frac{1}{m} \frac{[sm] + [(s-2)m]}{[km]} \delta_{m+n,0}. \quad (2.11)$$

We set the bosons $\beta_m^j, \gamma_m^j, (j = 1, 2; m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})$,

$$[\beta_m^j, \beta_n^j] = \frac{[2m][(k+2)m]}{m} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (2.12)$$

$$[\beta_m^1, \beta_n^2] = -\frac{[(k+2)m]([sm] + [(s-2)m])}{m} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$[\gamma_m^j, \gamma_n^j] = \frac{1}{m} \frac{[2m]}{[km]} \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (2.14)$$

$$[\gamma_m^1, \gamma_n^2] = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{[sm] + [(s-2)m]}{[km]} \delta_{m+n,0}. \quad (2.15)$$

We set the zero-mode operators P_0, Q_0, h, α and $h_0, h_1, h_2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$,

$$[P_0, iQ_0] = 1, \quad [h, \alpha] = 2, \quad (2.16)$$

$$[h_0, \alpha_0] = [h_1, \alpha_2] = [h_2, \alpha_1] = (2-s), \quad [h_1, \alpha_1] = [h_2, \alpha_2] = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

We set the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{K,L}, (K, L \in \mathbb{Z})$.

$$\mathcal{F}_{K,L} = \bigoplus_{n, n_0, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}[\alpha_{-m}^j, \tilde{\alpha}_{-m}^j, \beta_{-m}^j, \gamma_{-m}^j, (j = 1, 2; m \in \mathbb{N}_{\neq 0})] \otimes |K, L\rangle_{n, n_0, n_1, n_2},$$

$$(2.18)$$

$$|K, L\rangle_{n, n_0, n_1, n_2} = e^{\left(L\sqrt{\frac{2r}{r-k}} - K\sqrt{\frac{2(r-k)}{r}}\right)iQ} \otimes e^{n\alpha} \otimes e^{n_0\alpha_0} \otimes e^{n_1\alpha_1} \otimes e^{n_2\alpha_2}. \quad (2.19)$$

Upon specialization $s \rightarrow 2$, simplification occurs.

$$\alpha_m^2 = -\alpha_m^1, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_m^1 = \frac{[(r-k)m]}{[rm]}\alpha_m^1, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_m^2 = -\frac{[(r-k)m]}{[rm]}\alpha_m^1, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\beta_m^2 = -\beta_m^1, \quad \gamma_m^2 = -\gamma_m^1, \quad h_0 = h_1 = h_2 = \alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

The bosons $\alpha_m^1, \beta_m^1, \gamma_m^1$ are the same bosons which were introduced to construct the elliptic current associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$ and the deformed Virasoro algebra $Vir_{q,t}$ [7, 8, 9]. In order to construct infinitely many commutative operators, we introduce one parameter s deformation of the bosons in [7, 8, 9]. This additional parameter s plays an important role in proof of the main theorem.

2.2 Elliptic current

We introduce the operators $C_j(z), C_j^\dagger(z)$, ($j = 1, 2$) acting on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{J,K}$.

$$C_1(z) = e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2r}{k(r-k)}}iQ_0} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2r}{k(r-k)}}P_0 \log z} : \exp \left(- \sum_{m \neq 0} \alpha_m^1 z^{-m} \right) :, \quad (2.22)$$

$$C_2(z) = e^{\sqrt{\frac{2r}{k(r-k)}}iQ_0} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2r}{k(r-k)}}P_0 \log z} : \exp \left(- \sum_{m \neq 0} \alpha_m^2 z^{-m} \right) :, \quad (2.23)$$

$$C_1^\dagger(z) = e^{\sqrt{\frac{2(r-k)}{kr}}iQ_0} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2(r-k)}{kr}}P_0 \log z} : \exp \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \tilde{\alpha}_m^1 z^{-m} \right) :, \quad (2.24)$$

$$C_2^\dagger(z) = e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2(r-k)}{kr}}iQ_0} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2(r-k)}{kr}}P_0 \log z} : \exp \left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \tilde{\alpha}_m^2 z^{-m} \right) :. \quad (2.25)$$

Here $: * :$ represents normal ordering. We set the operators $\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z), \tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z), \tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z), \tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z)$, ($j = 1, 2$) acting on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{J,K}$.

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z) = \exp \left(-(x - x^{-1}) \sum_{m > 0} \frac{x^{\frac{km}{2}}}{[m]_+} \beta_m^j z^{-m} \right) \quad (2.26)$$

$$\times \exp \left(- \sum_{m > 0} x^{-\frac{km}{2}} \gamma_{-m}^j z^m \right) \exp \left(- \sum_{m > 0} x^{\frac{km}{2}} \frac{[(k+1)m]_+}{[m]_+} \gamma_m^j z^{-m} \right), \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z) = \exp \left((x - x^{-1}) \sum_{m > 0} \frac{x^{\frac{km}{2}}}{[m]_+} \beta_{-m}^j z^m \right) \quad (2.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \exp \left(- \sum_{m>0} x^{\frac{km}{2}} \frac{[(k+1)m]_+}{[m]_+} \gamma_{-m}^j z^m \right) \exp \left(- \sum_{m>0} x^{-\frac{km}{2}} \gamma_m^j z^{-m} \right), \quad (j=1,2), \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z) &= \exp \left((x-x^{-1}) \sum_{m>0} \frac{x^{-\frac{km}{2}}}{[m]_+} \beta_m^j z^{-m} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \exp \left(\sum_{m>0} x^{\frac{km}{2}} \gamma_{-m}^j z^m \right) \exp \left(\sum_{m>0} x^{-\frac{km}{2}} \frac{[(k+1)m]_+}{[m]_+} \gamma_m^j z^{-m} \right), \quad (j=1,2), \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z) &= \exp \left(-(x-x^{-1}) \sum_{m>0} \frac{x^{-\frac{km}{2}}}{[m]_+} \beta_{-m}^j z^m \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \exp \left(\sum_{m>0} x^{-\frac{km}{2}} \frac{[(k+1)m]_+}{[m]_+} \gamma_{-m}^j z^m \right) \exp \left(\sum_{m>0} x^{\frac{km}{2}} \gamma_m^j z^{-m} \right), \quad (j=1,2). \end{aligned}$$

We set the operators $\Psi_{j,I}(z), \Psi_{j,II}(z), \Psi_{j,I}^\dagger(z), \Psi_{j,II}^\dagger(z), (j=1,2)$ acting on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{J,K}$.

$$\Psi_{1,I}(z) = \tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}(z) e^{\alpha+\alpha_0+\alpha_1} x^{\frac{h}{2}+h_0+h_1} z^{-\frac{h}{k}}, \quad (2.30)$$

$$\Psi_{1,II}(z) = \tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}(z) e^{\alpha+\alpha_0+\alpha_1} x^{-\frac{h}{2}+h_0-h_1} z^{-\frac{h}{k}}, \quad (2.31)$$

$$\Psi_{2,I}(z) = \tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}(z) e^{-\alpha-\alpha_0+\alpha_2} x^{-\frac{h}{2}+h_0+h_2} z^{\frac{h}{k}}, \quad (2.32)$$

$$\Psi_{2,II}(z) = \tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}(z) e^{-\alpha-\alpha_0+\alpha_2} x^{\frac{h}{2}+h_0-h_2} z^{\frac{h}{k}}, \quad (2.33)$$

$$\Psi_{1,I}^\dagger(z) = \tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}^\dagger(z) e^{-\alpha-\alpha_0+\alpha_1} x^{\frac{h}{2}-h_0-h_1} z^{\frac{h}{k}}, \quad (2.34)$$

$$\Psi_{1,II}^\dagger(z) = \tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}^\dagger(z) e^{-\alpha-\alpha_0+\alpha_1} x^{-\frac{h}{2}-h_0+h_1} z^{\frac{h}{k}}, \quad (2.35)$$

$$\Psi_{2,I}^\dagger(z) = \tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}^\dagger(z) e^{\alpha+\alpha_0+\alpha_2} x^{-\frac{h}{2}-h_0-h_2} z^{-\frac{h}{k}}, \quad (2.36)$$

$$\Psi_{2,II}^\dagger(z) = \tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}^\dagger(z) e^{\alpha+\alpha_0+\alpha_2} x^{\frac{h}{2}-h_0+h_2} z^{-\frac{h}{k}}. \quad (2.37)$$

Definition 2.1 We set the operators $E_j(z), F_j(z), (j=1,2)$, which can be regarded as one parameter deformation of the level k elliptic currents associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ [7, 9].

$$E_j(z) = C_j(z) \Psi_j(z), \quad F_j(z) = C_j^\dagger(z) \Psi_j^\dagger(z), \quad (j=1,2), \quad (2.38)$$

where we have set

$$\Psi_j(z) = \frac{1}{x-x^{-1}} (\Psi_{j,I}(z) - \Psi_{j,II}(z)), \quad \Psi_j^\dagger(z) = \frac{-1}{x-x^{-1}} (\Psi_{j,I}^\dagger(z) - \Psi_{j,II}^\dagger(z)), \quad (j=1,2). \quad (2.39)$$

We have following proposition as direct consequence of the normal orderings of the basic operators summarized in appendix.

Proposition 2.2 The elliptic currents $E_j(z), (j=1,2)$ satisfy the following commutation relations.

$$[u_1 - u_2]_{r-k} [u_1 - u_2 - 1]_{r-k} E_j(z_1) E_j(z_2)$$

$$= [u_2 - u_1]_{r-k} [u_2 - u_1 - 1]_{r-k} E_j(z_2) E_j(z_1), \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (2.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[u_1 - u_2 + \frac{s}{2} \right]_{r-k} \left[u_1 - u_2 - \frac{s}{2} + 1 \right]_{r-k} E_1(z_1) E_2(z_2) \\ &= \left[u_2 - u_1 + \frac{s}{2} \right]_{r-k} \left[u_2 - u_1 - \frac{s}{2} + 1 \right]_{r-k} E_2(z_2) E_1(z_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

The elliptic currents $F_j(z)$, ($j = 1, 2$) satisfy the following commutation relations.

$$\begin{aligned} & [u_1 - u_2]_r [u_1 - u_2 + 1]_r F_j(z_1) F_j(z_2) \\ &= [u_2 - u_1]_r [u_2 - u_1 + 1]_r F_j(z_2) F_j(z_1), \quad (j = 1, 2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[u_1 - u_2 - \frac{s}{2} \right]_r \left[u_1 - u_2 + \frac{s}{2} - 1 \right]_r F_1(z_1) F_2(z_2) \\ &= \left[u_2 - u_1 - \frac{s}{2} \right]_r \left[u_2 - u_1 + \frac{s}{2} - 1 \right]_r F_2(z_2) F_1(z_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

The currents $E_j(z)$ and $F_j(z)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} [E_j(z_1), F_j(z_2)] &= \frac{x^{(-1)^j(s-2)}}{x - x^{-1}} \left(: C_j(z_1) C_j^\dagger(z_2) \Psi_{j,I}(z_1) \Psi_{j,I}^\dagger(z_2) : \delta \left(\frac{x^k z_2}{z_1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - : C_j(z_1) C_j^\dagger(z_2) \Psi_{j,II}(z_1) \Psi_{j,II}^\dagger(z_2) : \delta \left(\frac{x^{-k} z_2}{z_1} \right) \right), \quad (j = 1, 2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

Here we have used the delta-function $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$.

Upon specialization $s = 2$ the currents $E_1(z), F_1(z)$ degenerate to elliptic currents in [9]. We set $E_j^{DV}(z) = E_j(z)|_{s=2}$, $F_j^{DV}(z) = F_j(z)|_{s=2}$, ($j = 1, 2$).

3 Integrals of motion

In this section we construct infinitely many commutative operators $\mathcal{G}_m^*, \mathcal{G}_m$, ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), which we call the nonlocal integrals of motion for level k .

3.1 Nonlocal integrals of motion

Let us set the theta function $\vartheta_\alpha^*(u)$, $\vartheta_\alpha(u)$, ($\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$) by

$$\vartheta^*(u+1) = \vartheta^*(u), \quad \vartheta^*(u+r^*\tau^*) = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau^* - \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r^*}(2u - \sqrt{\frac{2rr^*}{k}}P_0 - \frac{r^*}{k}h)} \vartheta^*(u), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\vartheta(u+1) = \vartheta(u), \quad \vartheta(u+r\tau) = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau - \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r}(2u - \sqrt{\frac{2rr^*}{k}}P_0 - \frac{r}{k}h)} \vartheta(u). \quad (3.2)$$

Let us use the parametrization $z_j^{(t)} = x^{2u_j^{(t)}}$, ($t = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

Definition 3.1 We define the operator $\mathcal{G}_m^*$ for the regime $\text{Re}(r) > k$ and $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 2$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_m^* = \int \cdots \int_{C^*} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{dz_j^{(1)}}{z_j^{(1)}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{dz_j^{(2)}}{z_j^{(2)}} E_1(z_1^{(1)}) E_1(z_2^{(1)}) \cdots E_1(z_m^{(1)}) E_2(z_1^{(2)}) E_2(z_2^{(2)}) \cdots E_2(z_m^{(2)})$$

$$\times \frac{\prod_{t=1,2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [u_i^{(t)} - u_j^{(t)}]_{r-k} [u_j^{(t)} - u_i^{(t)} + 1]_{r-k}}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} [u_i^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)} - \frac{s}{2}]_{r-k} [u_j^{(2)} - u_i^{(1)} - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_{r-k}} \vartheta^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (u_j^{(2)} - u_j^{(1)}) \right), \quad (3.3)$$

were the integral contour C^* encircles $z_j^{(t)} = 0$, $(t = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ in such a way that

$$|z_j^{(t)}| = 1, \quad (t = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

We define the operator $\mathcal{G}_m$ for the regime $\text{Re}(r) > 0$ and $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_m &= \int \cdots \int_C \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{dz_j^{(1)}}{z_j^{(1)}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{dz_j^{(2)}}{z_j^{(2)}} F_1(z_1^{(1)}) F_1(z_2^{(1)}) \cdots F_1(z_m^{(1)}) F_2(z_1^{(2)}) F_2(z_2^{(2)}) \cdots F_2(z_m^{(2)}) \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{t=1,2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [u_i^{(t)} - u_j^{(t)}]_r [u_j^{(t)} - u_i^{(t)} - 1]_r}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} [u_i^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)} + \frac{s}{2}]_r [u_j^{(2)} - u_i^{(1)} + \frac{s}{2} - 1]_r} \vartheta \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (u_j^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

were the integral contour C^* encircles $z_j^{(t)} = 0$, $(t = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ in such a way that

$$|z_j^{(t)}| = 1, \quad (t = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

We call the operators $\mathcal{G}_m^*$ and $\mathcal{G}_m$ the nonlocal integrals of motion for level k .

The definition of the operators $\mathcal{G}_m^*$, $\mathcal{G}_m$ for generic $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $(s \neq 2)$ should be understood as analytic continuation. In the limit $s \rightarrow 2$, the contour C^* , C pinch at $z_j^{(t)} = z_i^{(t')}$. Hence the definition of $\mathcal{G}_m^*$, $\mathcal{G}_m$ do not hold for $s = 2$. We give modified definition of $\mathcal{G}_m^*$, $\mathcal{G}_m$ for $s = 2$, below. We note that parameter $s \neq 2$ plays an important role in proof of main theorem 3.3.

Definition 3.2 We define the operator $\mathcal{G}_m^{DV*}$ for the regime $\text{Re}(r) > k$ and $s = 2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_m^{DV*} &= \int \cdots \int_{C_{Arg}^*} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{dz_j^{(1)}}{z_j^{(1)}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{dz_j^{(2)}}{z_j^{(2)}} E_1^{DV}(z_1^{(1)}) \cdots E_1^{DV}(z_m^{(1)}) E_2^{DV}(z_1^{(2)}) \cdots E_2^{DV}(z_m^{(2)}) \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{t=1,2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [u_i^{(t)} - u_j^{(t)}]_{r-k} [u_j^{(t)} - u_i^{(t)} + 1]_{r-k}}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} [u_i^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)} - 1]_{r-k} [u_j^{(2)} - u_i^{(1)}]_{r-k}} \vartheta^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (u_j^{(2)} - u_j^{(1)}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

were the integral contour C_{Arg}^* encircles $z_j^{(t)} = 0$, $(t = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ in such a way that

$$|x^2 z_m^{(2)}|, |x^{2r^*} z_m^{(2)}| < |z_1^{(1)}| < |z_1^{(2)}| < |z_2^{(1)}| < |z_2^{(2)}| < \cdots < |z_m^{(1)}| < |z_m^{(2)}|.$$

We define the operator $\mathcal{G}_m^{DV}$ for the regime $\text{Re}(r) > 0$ and $s = 2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_m^{DV} = & \int \cdots \int_{C_{Arg}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{dz_j^{(1)}}{z_j^{(1)}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{dz_j^{(2)}}{z_j^{(2)}} F_1^{DV}(z_1^{(1)}) \cdots F_1^{DV}(z_m^{(1)}) F_2^{DV}(z_1^{(2)}) \cdots F_2^{DV}(z_m^{(2)}) \\ & \times \frac{\prod_{t=1,2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [u_i^{(t)} - u_j^{(t)}]_r [u_j^{(t)} - u_i^{(t)} - 1]_r}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} [u_i^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)} + 1]_r [u_j^{(2)} - u_i^{(1)}]_r} \vartheta \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (u_j^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where the integral contour C_{Arg} encircles $z_j^{(t)} = 0$, ($t = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) in such a way that

$$|x^2 z_m^{(2)}|, |x^{2r} z_m^{(2)}| < |z_1^{(1)}| < |z_1^{(2)}| < |z_2^{(1)}| < |z_2^{(2)}| < \cdots < |z_m^{(1)}| < |z_m^{(2)}|.$$

3.2 Main result

The following is main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.3 For the regime $s \neq 2$ and $\text{Re}(r) > k$, we have

$$[\mathcal{G}_m^*, \mathcal{G}_n^*] = 0, \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.7)$$

For the regime $s \neq 2$ and $\text{Re}(r) > 0$, we have

$$[\mathcal{G}_m, \mathcal{G}_n] = 0, \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.8)$$

We sketch proof of theorem 3.3. Proof is given as the same manner as level $k = 1$ case [1, 3].

By symmetrization of the screenings $E_j(z)$, the commutation relation $[\mathcal{G}_m^*, \mathcal{G}_n^*] = 0$ is reduced to the following sufficient condition of the theta functions, which is shown by induction as the same manner as [1, 3]. We note that this symmetrization procedure holds only for $s \neq 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{K \cup K^c = \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\} \\ |K|=n, |K^c|=m}} \sum_{\substack{L \cup L^c = \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\} \\ |L|=n, |L^c|=m}} \vartheta^* \left(\sum_{j \in K^c} u_j^{(2)} - \sum_{j \in L^c} u_j^{(1)} \right) \vartheta^* \left(\sum_{j \in K} u_j^{(2)} - \sum_{j \in L} u_j^{(1)} \right) \\ & \times \prod_{\substack{i \in K^c \\ p \in K^c}} \prod_{\substack{j \in K^c \\ q \in K^c}} \frac{[u_j^{(2)} - u_p^{(1)} - \frac{s}{2}]_{r-k} [u_i^{(1)} - u_q^{(2)} - \frac{s}{2}]_{r-k} [u_p^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)} - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_{r-k} [u_q^{(2)} - u_i^{(1)} - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_{r-k}}{[u_i^{(1)} - u_p^{(1)}]_{r-k} [u_j^{(2)} - u_q^{(2)}]_{r-k} [u_p^{(1)} - u_i^{(1)} + 1]_{r-k} [u_q^{(2)} - u_j^{(2)} + 1]_{r-k}} \\ & = \sum_{\substack{K \cup K^c = \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\} \\ |K|=n, |K^c|=m}} \sum_{\substack{L \cup L^c = \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\} \\ |L|=n, |L^c|=m}} \vartheta^* \left(\sum_{j \in K^c} u_j^{(2)} - \sum_{j \in L^c} u_j^{(1)} \right) \vartheta^* \left(\sum_{j \in K} u_j^{(2)} - \sum_{j \in L} u_j^{(1)} \right) \\ & \times \prod_{\substack{i \in K^c \\ p \in K^c}} \prod_{\substack{j \in K^c \\ q \in K^c}} \frac{[u_q^{(2)} - u_i^{(1)} - \frac{s}{2}]_{r-k} [u_p^{(2)} - u_j^{(1)} - \frac{s}{2}]_{r-k} [u_i^{(1)} - u_q^{(2)} - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_{r-k} [u_j^{(2)} - u_p^{(1)} - \frac{s}{2} + 1]_{r-k}}{[u_p^{(1)} - u_i^{(1)}]_{r-k} [u_q^{(2)} - u_j^{(2)}]_{r-k} [u_i^{(1)} - u_p^{(1)} + 1]_{r-k} [u_q^{(2)} - u_j^{(2)} + 1]_{r-k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Naively, when we take the limit $s \rightarrow 2$, it seems that we have $[\mathcal{G}_m^{DV*}, \mathcal{G}_n^{DV*}] = 0$. However, very precicely, in order to take the limit $s \rightarrow 2$, we have to consider special treatment which we call “renormalized” limit in [1]. Here we state only conjecture on the operator $\mathcal{G}_m^{DV*}$. Theorem 3.3 give a supporting argument of the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.4 *For the regime $s = 2$ and $\text{Re}(r) > k$ we have*

$$[\mathcal{G}_m^{DV*}, \mathcal{G}_n^{DV*}] = 0 \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.10)$$

For the regime $s = 2$ and $\text{Re}(r) > 0$ we have

$$[\mathcal{G}_m^{DV}, \mathcal{G}_n^{DV}] = 0, \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.11)$$

In this paper we gave one parameter “ s ” deformation of level k free field realization of the screening current of the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$. By means of these free field realizations, we constructed infinitely many commutative operators, which we call the nonlocal integrals of motion associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$ for arbitrary level $k \neq 0, -2$. They are given as integrals involving a product of the screening current and Jacobi elliptic theta functions. The construction of the local integrals of motion $\mathcal{I}_m$ for arbitrary level k is open problem. Elliptic deformation of the extended Virasoro algebra is needed for this construction.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the organizing committee of the X-th International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization in Sts.Constantine and Elena, Bulgaria. We would like to thank Professors V Bazhanov, P Bouwknegt, A Chervov, V Gerdjikov, F Goehmann, K Hasegawa, M Jimbo, A Kluemper, P Kulish, W-X Ma, V Mangazeev and I Mladenov for their interst in this work. This work is partly supported by Grant-in Aid for Young Scientist **B** (18740092) from JSPS.

A Normal Ordering

In appendix we summarize the normal orderings of the basic operators.

$$\begin{aligned} C_j(z_1)C_j(z_2) &= :: z_1^{\frac{2}{r^*} + \frac{2}{k}} \frac{(x^{-2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty (x^{-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty (x^2z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.1}) \\ C_1(z_1)C_2(z_2) &= :: z_1^{-\frac{2}{r^*} - \frac{2}{k}} \frac{(x^s z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty (x^{2-s}z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{-s}z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty (x^{s-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \frac{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(z_1)C_1(z_2) &= :: z_1^{-\frac{2}{r^*}-\frac{2}{k}} \frac{(x^{s+2r^*} z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty (x^{2-s+2r^*} z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty}{(x^{-s+2r^*} z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty (x^{s-2+2r^*} z_2/z_1; x^{2r^*})_\infty} \\ &\times \frac{(x^s z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$C_j^\dagger(z_1)C_j^\dagger(z_2) = :: z_1^{-\frac{2}{r}+\frac{2}{k}} \frac{(x^{-2+2k} z_1/z_2; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2+2r} z_1/z_2; x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{2+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{-2+2r} z_2/z_1; x^{2r})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^\dagger(z_1)C_2^\dagger(z_2) &= :: z_1^{\frac{2}{r}-\frac{2}{k}} \frac{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty} \\ &\times \frac{(x^{-s+2r} z_2/z_1; x^{2r})_\infty (x^{s-2+2r} z_2/z_1; x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{s+2r} z_2/z_1; x^{2r})_\infty (x^{2-s+2r} z_2/z_1; x^{2r})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.5}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_2^\dagger(z_1)C_1^\dagger(z_2) &= :: z_1^{\frac{2}{r}-\frac{2}{k}} \frac{(x^s z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty} \\ &\times \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2r})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^s z_2/z_1; x^{2r})_\infty (x^{2-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2r})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.6}) \end{aligned}$$

$$C_j(z_1)C_j^\dagger(z_2) = :: z_1^{-\frac{2}{k}} \frac{(x^{2+k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2+k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$C_j^\dagger(z_1)C_j(z_2) = :: z_1^{-\frac{2}{k}} \frac{(x^{2+k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2+k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2+2k} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^s z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^s z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2} z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.20})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^s z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{2,II}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{1,I}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.22})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{s+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.23})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{2,I}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{1,II}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-s}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{2-s}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^s z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty (x^{s-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (\text{A.24})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z_2) = :: (1 - z_2/z_1) \frac{(x^{2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.25})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z_2) = :: (1 - z_2/z_1) \frac{(x^{2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.26})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.27})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^2 z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.28})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z_2) = :: (1 - z_2/z_1) \frac{(x^{2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.29})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z_2) = :: (1 - z_2/z_1) \frac{(x^{2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.30})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^2 z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.31})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{-2+2k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.32})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{1}{(1 - x^k z_2/z_1)} \frac{(x^{k-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{3k+2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.33})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z_2) = :: \frac{1}{(1 - x^{-k}z_2/z_1)} \frac{(x^{-k-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{k+2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.34})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-2+k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{2+k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.35})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-2+k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{2+k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.36})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-2+k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{2+k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.37})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,I}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z_2) = :: \frac{(x^{-2+k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{2+k}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.38})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z_2) = :: \frac{1}{(1 - x^k z_2/z_1)} \frac{(x^{-k-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{k+2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (\text{A.39})$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}^\dagger(z_1)\tilde{\Psi}_{j,II}(z_2) = :: \frac{1}{(1 - x^k z_2/z_1)} \frac{(x^{k-2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}{(x^{3k+2}z_2/z_1; x^{2k})_\infty}, \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (\text{A.40})$$

References

- [1] Feigin B., Kojima T., Shiraishi J. and Watanabe H., The integrals of motion for the deformed Virasoro algebra, Preprint 54 pages (2007), submitted for publication, arXiv:0705.0427.
- [2] Feigin B., Kojima T., Shiraishi J. and Watanabe H., The integrals of motion for the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{sl_N})$, *Proc.for Representation Theory 2006 (Atami, Japan)*, 102-114 (2006), ISBN 4-9902328-2-8.
- [3] Kojima T. and Shiraishi J., The integrals of motion for the deformed W -algebra $W_{q,t}(\widehat{gl_N})$ II: Proof of the commutation relations, *Commun.Math.Phys.*(2008) at press, arXiv:0709.2305.
- [4] Bazhanov V., Lukyanov S. and Zamolodchikov Al., Integrable structure of conformal field theory :quantum KdV theory and thermodynamic Bethe ansatz, *Commun.Math.Phys.***177**, 381-398, (1976).
- [5] Bazhanov V., Hibberd A. and Khoroshkin S., Integrable structure of W_3 conformal field theory, quantum Boussinesq theory and boundary affine Toda theory, *Nucl.Phys.***B622**,475-547, (2002).
- [6] Kojima T., Baxter's Q -operator for the W -algebra W_N , *J.Phys* **A41**: Math.Theor.(2008) at press, arXiv.0803.3505.
- [7] Konno H., An elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$ and the fusion RSOS model, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **195**, 373-403, (1998).
- [8] Matsuo A., A q -deformation of Wakimoto modules, Primary fields and screening operators, *Commun.Math.Phys.***161**,33-48,(1994).
- [9] Jimbo M., Konno H., Odake S. and Shiraishi J., Elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$: Drinfeld current and Vertex operators, *Commun.Math.Phys.***199**,605-647, (1999).
- [10] Jimbo M., Konno H., Odake S. and Shiraishi J., Quasi-Hopf twistors for elliptic quantum groups, *Transformation Group* **4**, 303-327, (1999).
- [11] Feigin B. and Odesskii A., A family of elliptic algebras, *Internat.Math.Res.Notices* **11**,531-539, (1997).